FACULTY CLASSROOM OBSERVATION REPORT

Year: AY2014-2015

(X)Untenured
()Tenured

Department: Computer Systems Technology

Course/Section: CST 1100

Name of observee: ASHWIN SATYANARAYANA   **Rank:** ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Name of observer: CANDIDO CABO   **Rank:** PROFESSOR

Date of Observation: 12/3/14   **Room:** N918

Lesson Topic & Brief Summary: Prof Satyanarayana discussed areas of application of artificial intelligence (AI), and AI concepts and techniques like natural language processing, neural networks and knowledge representation.

Please complete each item. This report will be returned unless each category contains supporting comments. Use additional pages if necessary.
===

1.   CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT (prompt start, efficient attendance check):
     (x) Satisfactory   ( )Unsatisfactory

Prof Satyanarayana started promptly and he took attendance.

2.   PROFESSIONAL TRAITS (professional appearance and demeanor, clarity, volume, and pace of speech; establishment of rapport with students)
     (X)Satisfactory   ( )Unsatisfactory

Prof Satyanarayana spoke clearly with an appropriate volume and pace. He established rapport with students.

3. SUBJECT MASTERY (accuracy of presented material, use of appropriate terminology, competence in use of equipment)
(x)Excellent   ( )Very Good ( )Satisfactory   ( )Unsatisfactory

Prof Satyanarayana presented the material accurately using the appropriate terminology.

4. ORGANIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MATERIAL (clear statement of objectives, logical sequence, budgeting of time, review, summary, and outside assignments as appropriate)
(x)Excellent   ( )Very Good ( )Satisfactory   ( )Unsatisfactory

The objectives of the lecture were clearly presented. The topics were presented in a logical sequence. Prof Satyanarayana related the lesson topics to real applications students were familiar with.

5. PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL (level and clarity of presentation, appropriate use of learning aids)
(X)Excellent   ( )Very Good ( )Satisfactory   ( )Unsatisfactory

The presentation was clear at a level appropriate to the audience. Prof Satyanarayana used the projector and blackboard efficiently.

6. STUDENT-INSTRUCTOR INTERACTION (relevance, variety, and clarity of questions, appropriate recognition of student contributions)
(X)Excellent   ( )Very Good ( )Satisfactory   ( )Unsatisfactory

Prof Satyanarayana asked relevant questions to students, and he guided them to find the correct answers. The students' efforts and contributions were appropriately recognized.

7. OVERALL EVALUATION (categories 1 through 6)

(X)Excellent
( )Very Good
( )Satisfactory
( )Unsatisfactory

8. SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT (use additional pages if necessary)

Keep up the good work!

I have read and have been given a copy of the above report, and so signify by my signature below. I understand that I may attach additional comments to this document.

Signature of observee	12/3/14 date
Signature of observer	12/3/14 date

1/04