

NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

POST OBSERVATION CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

Date of Discussion May 3, 2016

Observation date April 20, 2016

Candidate's Name Ashwin Satyanarayana

Department Computer Systems Technology

Representatives Present _____

Course & Section CST4714/D767 Hong Li
Name of Observer

Name of Observee Ashwin Satyanarayana

Date Observation Filed May 3, 2016 with Chairperson _____


P&B member or other assigned by chairperson _____

(Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Signed  _____
Title

I understand that my signature means only that I have read this memorandum and that I may attach any comments I wish.

Staff Member's Signature
6/96



5/4/16
date

**NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK**

FACULTY CLASS ROOM OBSERVATION REPORT Year_____

Untenured

Tenured

Department **Computer** **Systems** **Technology**_____

Course/Section___CST4714/D767 Database Administration_____

Name of Observee___Satyanarayana, Ashwin_____ Rank_Assistant Professor___
Last Name, First Name

Name of Observer Li, Hong_____ Rank_Associate Professor___

Date of Observation___April 20, 2016___ Room___N918_____

Lesson Topic & Brief Summary___SQL Procedure/Stored Procedure_____

Please complete each item. This report will be returned unless each category contains supporting comments. Use additional pages if necessary.

1. CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT (prompt start, efficient attendance check):
 Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Class started on time. Professor Satyanarayana checked attendance and recorded in the digital file.

2. PROFESSIONAL TRAITS (professional appearance and demeanor, clarity, volume, and pace of speech; establishment of rapport with students)
 Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Professor Sayanarayana appeared in professional attire and spoke clearly throughout the

lecture. It was clearly seen that Professor Satyanarayana had good rapport with students. He called students by names for attendance as well as for questions reviewing previous materials

3. **SUBJECT MASTERY** (accuracy of presented material, use of appropriate terminology, competence in use of equipment)
(x)Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

Prof. Satyanarayana appeared fluent and confident with the class materials, used projector to demonstrate steps to create stored procedure of database. He also wrote examples on chalk board for discussion

4. **ORGANIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MATERIAL** (clear statement of objectives, logical sequence, budgeting of time, review, summary, and outside assignments as appropriate)
(x)Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

The class was well organized. Prof. Satyanarayana used the class time effectively in manner of lecture, discussion, questions and demo of examples.

5. **PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL** (level and clarity of presentation, appropriate use of learning aids)
(x)Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory

6. **STUDENT-INSTRUCTOR INTERACTION** (relevance, variety, and clarity of questions, appropriate recognition of student contributions)
(x)Excellent ()Very Good ()Satisfactory ()Unsatisfactory


Prof. Satyanarayana effectively involved students in the class. He frequently ask individual student by name and give each one opportunity to participate in discussion. All student were actively involved in the class

7. OVERALL EVALUATION (categories 1 through 6)

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

8. SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT (use additional pages if necessary)

I have read and have been given a copy of the above report, and so signify by my signature below. I understand that I may attach additional comments to this document.



Signature of Observee

5/3/16

date



Signature of Observer

5/3/16

date