

NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY  
OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

POST OBSERVATION CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

Date of Discussion: 12/4/19

Observation date: 12/4/19

Candidate's Name: NA

Department: Computer Systems Technology

Representatives Present: NA

Course / Section: CST 4714 / D769

Name of Observer: Professor CANDIDO CABO

Name of Observee: Professor ASHWIN SATYANARAYANA

Date Observation Filed with Chairperson:


P&B member or other assigned by chairperson: NA

---

(Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Signed Candido Cabo Prof.  
Title

I understand that my signature means only that I have read this memorandum and that I may attach any comments I wish.

Staff Member's Signature  1/27/2020  
date

**FACULTY CLASSROOM OBSERVATION REPORT**

Year: AY2019-2020

Untenured  
 Tenured

**Department:** Computer Systems Technology

**Course/Section:** CST 4714 / D769

**Name of observee:** ASHWIN SATYANARAYANA      **Rank:** ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

**Name of observer:** CANDIDO CABO      **Rank:** PROFESSOR

**Date of Observation:** 12/4/19      **Room:** N918

**Lesson Topic & Brief Summary:** Prof Satyanarayana discussed the difference between PL/SQL codes and procedures. He demonstrated their use to search a database with applications to the final course project. He also covered PL/SQL programming triggers, which is code that is executed when a certain event occurs. He illustrated the timing, actions and levels of triggers with examples. Implicit vs explicit cursors.

---

**Please complete each item. This report will be returned unless each category contains supporting comments. Use additional pages if necessary.**

=====

1. CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT (prompt start, efficient attendance check):  
(x) Satisfactory      ( ) Unsatisfactory

Prof Satyanarayana started promptly and he took attendance.

2. PROFESSIONAL TRAITS (professional appearance and demeanor, clarity, volume, and pace of speech; establishment of rapport with students)  
(X) Satisfactory      ( ) Unsatisfactory

Prof Satyanarayana spoke clearly with an appropriate volume and pace. He established a rapport with students.

3. SUBJECT MASTERY (accuracy of presented material, use of appropriate terminology, competence in use of equipment)  
(x)Excellent ( )Very Good ( )Satisfactory ( )Unsatisfactory

Prof Satyanarayana presented the material accurately using the appropriate terminology.

4. ORGANIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MATERIAL (clear statement of objectives, logical sequence, budgeting of time, review, summary, and outside assignments as appropriate)  
(x)Excellent ( )Very Good ( )Satisfactory ( )Unsatisfactory

The objectives of the lecture were clearly presented. The topics were presented in a logical sequence. Prof Satyanarayana used an example to explain how to write PL/SQL code to display some information from the database; he helped students write the code.

5. PRESENTATION OF MATERIAL (level and clarity of presentation, appropriate use of learning aids)  
(X)Excellent ( )Very Good ( )Satisfactory ( )Unsatisfactory

The presentation was clear at a level appropriate to the audience. Prof Satyanarayana used the projector and blackboard efficiently.

6. STUDENT-INSTRUCTOR INTERACTION (relevance, variety, and clarity of questions, appropriate recognition of student contributions)  
(X)Excellent ( )Very Good ( )Satisfactory ( )Unsatisfactory

Prof Satyanarayana asked relevant questions to students, and he guided them to find the correct answers. The students' efforts and contributions were appropriately recognized.



7. OVERALL EVALUATION (categories 1 through 6)

(X)Excellent  
( )Very Good  
( )Satisfactory  
( )Unsatisfactory

8. SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT (use additional pages if necessary)

Excellent and engaging lecture.

**I have read and have been given a copy of the above report, and so signify by my signature below. I understand that I may attach additional comments to this document.**

	1/27/2020
Signature of observee	date
	1/27/2020
Signature of observer	date