

## New York City College of Technology


## **Student Evaluation of Teaching Summary**

SCHOOL:	Technology & Design

INSTRUCTOR: Ashwin Satyanarayana SECTION: LC70 LEC ENROLLMENT: 24 **RESPONSE RATE: 88% (21)** 

EMPID: 23122402 COURSE: CST1100 SECTIONS: 16

**DEPARTMENT:** Computer System Technology



Fall 2018

QUESTIONS		DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES				SECTION*			<b>OTHER SECTIONS*</b>			DEPARTMENT*		
		Agree	Not Sure or Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	AVG	SD	N	AVG	SD	N	AVG	SD	N
1. The instructor communicated in a way I understood.	43% (9)	48% (10)	10% (2)			4.33	0.658	21	3.75	1.044	253	4.31	0.938	3,312
2. The instructor held my interest and attention in class.	38% (8)	48% (10)	14% (3)			4.24	0.700	21	3.45	1.191	254	4.13	1.071	3,322
3. The instructor took the time to explain the material when students did not understand it.	50% (10)	45% (9)	5% (1)			4.45	0.605	20	3.95	1.073	253	4.38	0.894	3,318
<ol> <li>Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.</li> </ol>	52% (11)	33% (7)	14% (3)			4.38	0.740	21	3.88	1.057	254	4.37	0.901	3,320
<ol><li>Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or participate in class activities.</li></ol>	55% (11)	35% (7)	5% (1)	5% (1)		4.40	0.821	20	3.82	1.113	252	4.33	0.913	3,315
6. The instructor treated students with courtesy and respect.	62% (13)	29% (6)		5% (1)	5% (1)	4.38	1.071	21	4.20	0.959	254	4.52	0.803	3,322
<ol><li>The instructor was available to students for discussions or conferences.</li></ol>	43% (9)	24% (5)	33% (7)			4.10	0.889	21	3.81	0.988	252	4.29	0.926	3,313
<ol><li>The instructor generally met the class on time and held class to the end of the period.</li></ol>	62% (13)	38% (8)				4.62	0.498	21	4.04	1.078	253	4.50	0.818	3,318
9. The instructor spoke clearly and could be heard in class.	62% (13)	29% (6)	10% (2)			4.52	0.680	21	3.96	1.113	254	4.40	0.910	3,317
10. The grading system for the course was clearly explained.	57% (12)	38% (8)	5% (1)			4.52	0.602	21	4.11	0.951	253	4.41	0.869	3,320
11. Overall the instructor's teaching was effective.	55% (11)	40% (8)	5% (1)			4.50	0.607	20	3.71	1.137	255	4.22	1.045	3,307
OVERALL					4.40	0.715	21	3.88	1.064	255	4.35	0.917	3.322	

\*AVG: Average; SD: Standard Deviation; N: Number of respondents

A. The reason(s) I am enrolled in this course is (are):		B. Gr	ade I expect in this course:	C. College level credits I earned before the beginning of this semester:				
It is required.	71% (15)	А	39% (7)	0-15	94% (16)			
It fit into my schedule.		В	50% (9)	16-30	6% (1)			
Teacher's excellent reputation.	5% (1)	С	11% (2)	31-45				
It is an elective.	19% (4)	D		More than 45	,			
Subject was of interest.	14% (3)	F						
Thought I could get a good grade.	5% (1)	S						
		R						

Note: 1. A 5-point scale is used for scores; the higher the score, the better the rating.

2. Other Sections Mean: The mean for all of the scores for the other sections, excluding yours.

3. Department Mean: The mean for all of the sections of all courses in the department.