

## New York City College of Technology

## **Student Evaluation of Teaching Summary**

INSTRUCTOR:Ashwin SatyanarayanaSECTION:LC70 LECENROLLMENT:24RESPONSE RATE:88% (21)


SCHOOL: School of Technology & Design

 EMPID:
 23122402

 COURSE:
 CST1100

 SECTIONS:
 15

**DEPARTMENT:** Computer Systems Tech



Fall 2019

		DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES				SECTION*			<b>OTHER SECTIONS*</b>			DEPARTMENT*		
QUESTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure or Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	AVG	SD	N	AVG	SD	N	AVG	SD	N
1. The instructor communicated in a way I understood.	43% (9)	52% (11)	5% (1)			4.38	0.590	21	4.19	0.990	217	4.33	0.944	3,133
2. The instructor held my interest and attention in class.	29% (6)	57% (12)	14% (3)			4.14	0.655	21	4.00	1.063	216	4.18	1.046	3,144
3. The instructor took the time to explain the material when students did not understand it.	52% (11)	43% (9)	5% (1)			4.48	0.602	21	4.34	0.866	218	4.36	0.929	3,151
<ol> <li>Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.</li> </ol>	35% (7)	45% (9)	20% (4)			4.15	0.745	20	4.18	0.932	218	4.34	0.928	3,140
<ol><li>Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or participate in class activities.</li></ol>	38% (8)	43% (9)	19% (4)			4.19	0.750	21	4.13	0.897	216	4.31	0.939	3,142
6. The instructor treated students with courtesy and respect.	67% (14)	29% (6)		5% (1)		4.57	0.746	21	4.43	0.790	218	4.49	0.853	3,146
<ol><li>The instructor was available to students for discussions or conferences.</li></ol>	24% (5)	48% (10)	24% (5)	5% (1)		3.90	0.831	21	4.17	0.882	217	4.27	0.950	3,139
<ol> <li>The instructor generally met the class on time and held class to the end of the period.</li> </ol>	48% (10)	52% (11)				4.48	0.512	21	4.34	0.972	215	4.48	0.860	3,133
9. The instructor spoke clearly and could be heard in class.	52% (11)	38% (8)	10% (2)			4.43	0.676	21	4.31	0.935	217	4.41	0.913	3,138
10. The grading system for the course was clearly explained.	52% (11)	38% (8)	10% (2)			4.43	0.676	21	4.31	1.001	217	4.40	0.903	3,141
11. Overall the instructor's teaching was effective.	62% (13)	38% (8)				4.62	0.498	21	4.07	1.093	217	4.25	1.041	3,138
OVERALL						4.34	0.662	21	4.23	0.947	218	4.35	0.937	3,151

\*AVG: Average; SD: Standard Deviation; N: Number of respondents

0-15 90% (18) 1) 16-30 5% (1) 31-45 5% (1)
31-45 5% (1)
51-45 578 (1)
More than 45

Note: 1. A 5-point scale is used for scores; the higher the score, the better the rating.

2. Other Sections Mean: The mean for all of the scores for the other sections, excluding yours.

3. Department Mean: The mean for all of the sections of all courses in the department.

4. Enrollment numbers used are accurate as of the term census date.